



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/523,349

08/23/2005

Jason Watling

322-00089

8333

26753

7590

10/17/2008

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP
100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER

ZIMMER, MARC S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1796

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/523,349 | WATLING ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MARC S. ZIMMER | 1796 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 08 October 2008 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☒ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

Concerning the first amendment, the Examiner has authorized the replacement of octadecylcyclotetrasiloxane with octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane under the heading Example 1 for no reason other than octadecylcyclotetrasiloxane could not be used to make poly(methylhydrogensiloxane-dimethylsiloxane) copolymer. The reasons why this change should be permitted as surmised by Applicant are either unconvincing or non-sensical ("cyclotetrasiloxane does not have 18 substitution points").

/Marc S. Zimmer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796